TITLE I MONITORING FORM PROJECT ADMINISTRATION Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Public Law 107-110

Di	strict School		Date of Review	
Re	eviewer(s)			
Αt	ttendance Area Selection			
	Monitoring Requirements		Evidence of Compliance	Compliant
1.	All district schools, including charter schools, are listed in the project application.		Project application, page 2	
			Charter school desk audit	
			Printed list from district website of all schools	
	December on the first content attended to the december of the content at the december of the de		Lacelly established attacked a second (such disc. Dec. 17)	
۷.	Documents are on file to support attendance count and low-income count for all district schools including charter schools utilizing one or more of the	Ш	Locally established attendance count (excluding Pre-K)	
	following:		Documentation of 135 day ADM	
	 Locally established attendance count (excluding Pre-K) 		Documentation of private school enrollment [if serving private school(s)]	
	 Documentation of 135-day ADM 		· /-	
	Documentation of private school enrollment	Ш	Locally established low-income count (excluding Pre-K) using data from free and reduced lunch count for March (SNACS report)	
	 Locally established low-income count (excluding Pre-K) using data from free and reduced lunch count for March (SNACS report) or other appropriate data source. 		Other	

	Monitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant
3.	Reviewer can follow the application of one of the following to determine eligible schools:	☐ 35% rule chosen	
	■ 35% rule	☐ District percentage utilized	
	District percentage	One year grandfather provision	
	 One year grandfather provision Special rule One school per grade span 1000 or fewer students in the district 	 ☐ One school per grade span ☐ 1000 or fewer students in the district ☐ Special rule – identify below 	
4.	Documents are on file to support the designation and ranking of schools. (The same poverty measure was used to rank all schools.)	 □ Documentation of 135-day ADM □ Documentation of private school enrollment □ Locally established low-income count (excluding Pre-K) using data from free and reduced lunch count for March (SNACS report) 	
5.	All schools with 75% or more poverty are being served, if funds are available.	 □ Project application, page 2d □ N/A for district with one school per grade span or district with less than 1000 students 	
6.	Funds have been allocated so that the per pupil Title I allocation for the highest poverty schools is equal to or greater than the per pupil Title I allocation for lower poverty schools.	☐ Project application, page 2d	
7.	If a school with less than 35% poverty is served, the LEA has adhered to the 125% rule.	Project application, page 2d	

Monitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant
 8. If a school is skipped for service, the LEA has documentation to show that the three criteria found in Section 1113(b)(1)(D) of the law have been met. School meets comparability requirement of Section 1120A(c), School is receiving supplemental funds from other state or local sources that are spent according to the requirements of Section 1114 or 1115, 	 □ Documentation establishes that the LEA is able to demonstrate comparability compliance. □ School plan for the skipped school (either Schoolwide or Targeted Assistance) □ District accountability printout of funds expenditure for the 	
 Funds expended from such other sources equal or exceed the amount that would be provided under Title I. 	skipped school	

Project Consultation

	Monitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant
1.	Documentation shows that the LEA has developed its Title I plan in a timely manner utilizing consultation with teachers, principals, administrators, other	☐ The following LEA planning team documentation:	
	appropriate school personnel, and parents of children in schools served	☐ LEA planning team meeting notice	
	under Title I.	☐ LEA planning team sign-in sheets	
		☐ LEA planning team agenda	
		☐ LEA planning team minutes	

Time and Attendance Records (CFR, Circular A-87)

	Monitoring Requ	irements	Evidence of	of Compliance	Complian
-	Documentation shows that Title I employmore than one funding source, have madistribution records reflecting actual actispend on identified projects. The record supervisor. However, if an employee is plannual certificate of full time Title I em	intained appropriate time vities and time the employees as are signed by the employee's paid 100% with Title I funds, a	☐ Certificate of 100% Title I fu☐ Work logs for current year id immediate supervisor.☐ Accounting report indicating	dentifying employee and signed by	
	Prorated Personnel (To be com	-	-		ation.)
	Position	Name of Individual	Title I FTE	Full-Time	

District Set-Aside

Monitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant
	T	1
Districts receiving \$500,000 or more in Title I allocation have set aside at least 1% of the new grant amount for parent involvement. At least 95% of this set aside is distributed to Title I Schools (Note: The new grant amount excludes carryover.)	 □ Project application page 1a, line 3 □ Project application page 2c addendum □ Accounting report verifying that 95% of this set aside has been distributed to Title I schools □ Project application page 2c 	
District has set saids an appropriate amount of Title I funds to assist in the	Project application page 2e	
District has set aside an appropriate amount of Title I funds to assist in the education of homeless children.	☐ Project application page 2c☐ Project application page 2c addendum	
	Accounting report verifying budget for homeless education	
	Accounting report verifying expenditures for homeless	
	education (if applicable)	
	T	
District has set aside appropriate Title I funds for the education of neglected or delinquent children, if applicable.	Project application page 2c	
delinquent emidren, il applicable.	Project application page 2c addendum	
	Accounting report verifying budget for neglected or	
	delinquent education Accounting report verifying expenditures for neglected or	
	delinquent education (if applicable)	
	domiquoni oddodion (ii appriodolo)	
	,	

Monitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant
District has set aside funds for choice and supplemental educational services if applicable. District only has to do choice and supplemental educational services if the district has schools identified as being either a "Focus" or a "Priority" school. The amount of funds reserved for choice and supplemental educational services will depend on what category those schools identified fall into. The requirements are:	 □ Project application page 2c □ Project application page 2c addendum □ Accounting report verifying budget for choice and/or supplemental educational services □ Accounting report verifying expenditures for choice and/or 	
District must reserve at least 10 percent if the identified schools are in the category of "Focus" schools District must reserve at least 20 percent if the identified schools are in	supplemental educational services education (if applicable)	
District must reserve at least 20 percent if the identified schools are in the category of "Priority" schools	☐ District has no schools identified as "Focus" or "Priority" schools.	NA□
 District must reserve at least 20 percent if they have schools that are in both categories of "Focus" and "Priority" schools 	Solitorio.	
(Note: The new grant amount excludes carryover.)		
District set-asides are allowable and equitable.	If applicable, the district has set reasonable criteria for the expenditure of district set-aside funds for school-level activities in which only a select school or schools benefits.	
	☐ District accounting report of Title I expenditures to be compared to the Title I project	
	LEA administration expenditures verified through district accounting report	

Qualifications of Teachers and Paraprofessionals

	Monitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant
1.	The LEA can document by policy or procedure that all teachers hired after the first day of the first school year following the date of enactment of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) (Fall 2002) and teaching in a program supported with Title I funds are highly qualified.	 □ Written district policy or procedure □ Evidence that staff is highly qualified □ Letters to parents regarding non-highly qualified teachers (if applicable). 	
2	The LEA main office and each Cabachuide and/or Targeted Assistance	Attentation form has been completed and in an file at the LEA	
2.	The LEA main office and each Schoolwide and/or Targeted Assistance school have a copy of the principal's attestation that the school is in compliance with the qualification and duty requirements of ESEA, Section 1119 on file. The LEA main office and school will make the principal's attestation available to the public upon request.	 Attestation form has been completed and is on file at the LEA main office and at each Title I school. (See the Office of Federal and State Accountability's Title I page on the State Department of Education's Web site for an attestation form.) 	
2	Except for paraprofessionals whose duties are exceptions*, all	Printout listing currently employed instructional aides with	
3.	paraprofessionals in a Schoolwide or Targeted Assistance school meet the requirements of ESEA Section 1119.	notation of compliance OR	
	*Examples of exceptions: translators, cafeteria workers, non-instructional paraprofessionals, parent involvement paraprofessionals, and child care	List of currently employed instructional aides	
	paraprofessionals.	College transcript or copy of Para Pro, or Work Keys or district test score for each currently employed instructional aide.	
		☐ Para pro records regarding highly qualified status	
1	All paragrafaccionals in a Cabachuide or Targeted Assistance acheel have	District policy /if district requires a secondary school diplome	
4.	All paraprofessionals in a Schoolwide or Targeted Assistance school have earned a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent.	District policy (if district requires a secondary school diploma or its equivalent for employment)	
		OR	
		List of currently employed instructional aides	
		Copy of high school transcript, secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent	

Parents Right to Know

	Monitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant
1.	Evidence is available to confirm that parents of Title I served children have been notified of their right to request information regarding the professional qualifications of the student's classroom teachers in accordance with ESEA Section 1111 (h)(6) of the law.	☐ Timely notification to parents containing parents right to know information	
2.	LEA has responded to such requests from parents in a timely manner.	District procedure for responding to parents	
		☐ Documentation of response to parent's requests	
3.	Evidence is available to confirm that schools have provided each individual parent with information on and interpretation of the level of achievement of	 Written documentation of explanation of students' state assessment results 	
	the parent's child in each of the state's assessments.	☐ Test scores	
		☐ Date(s) of testing	
		☐ Date(s) of parent conferences	
		☐ Sample of information shared with parents	
4.	Timely notice has been provided to each parent whose child has been assigned or has been taught for four or more consecutive weeks by a teacher who is not highly qualified. This information has been provided in an understandable and uniform format, and to the extent practicable, in a language that parents can understand.	Copies of letters sent to parents of students who are being taught for four or more consecutive weeks by a teacher who is not highly qualified, if applicable.	

Recordkeeping (GEPA, Section 437, EDGAR 76.734)

Monitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant
 A procedure is in place for retention of pertinent Title I documentation (i.e. target area selection, needs assessment, evaluation, student selection, etc.) for a period of three years after the close of the fiscal year in which the funds were spent. 	pertinent documentation	

Travel (Circular A-87 Attachment B, Item 28)

Mor	nitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant
charged to Title I are incurbusiness. The charges ar	ation, lodging, subsistence and related items rred by employees in travel status on official Title I re consistent with those normally allowed in like	Travel forms indicate the reason for travel and, as applicable, the costs for transportation, lodging, subsistence and other related items.	
circumstances in non-fede	erally sponsored activities.	☐ Travel is aligned with needs of the Title I project	
		☐ Accounting report of Title I expenditures for travel	
		State Department of Education desk audit results	

Meetings and Conferences (Circular A-87, Attachment B, Item 19(c))

Monitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant
 Costs for meetings and conferences are charged to Title I when the primary purpose of the meeting is dissemination of technical information relating to Title I. The LEA has followed the limitations on travel expenses for meetings and conferences as outlined in the administration manual and the charges are consistent with regular practices followed for non-federally sponsored activities. 	 Expenditure forms for conferences and meetings indicate the specific meeting and/or conference. Expenditures are consistent with regular practices for non-federally sponsored activities. State Department of Education desk audit results Accounting report of Title I expenditures for meetings and conferences 	

Comparability (ESEA, Section 1120(A))

Monitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant
LEA has calculated the instructional staff: pupil ratio to demonstrate comparability as required by the State Department of Education. Other methods to prove comparability can be utilized if the staff: pupil ratio is not sufficient.	Documentation establishes that the LEA is able to demonstrate comparability compliance. (See the Office of Federal and State Accountability's Title I page on the State Department of Education's Web site for comparability information.)	
	☐ If a different option was used to demonstrate comparability, list option.	
	Date comparability was completed.	
	☐ Staff and student counts taken on same day	
	☐ Not applicable for this district	

Supplement, Not Supplant (ESEA, Section 1120A(b)(1)(A))

Monitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant	
 The district has not used Title I funds to provide services that the district was required to make available under federal, state or local law.* 	Current Application (for example, class size reduction nurses, projects funded by grants etc.)		
	Proof of positions that would have been eliminated due to state budget shortfalls (eg. school board minutes).		
2. The district has not used Title I funds to provide services that the district provided with non-Title I funds in the prior year(s).*	Current Application (for example, class size reduction, nurses, projects funded by grants etc.)		
	Proof of positions that would have been eliminated due to state budget shortfalls (eg. school board minutes).		
3. The district has not used Title I funds to provide services for participating children that the district provided with non-federal funds for non-participating children.*	Current Application (for example, class size reduction, nurses, projects funded by grants etc.)		
Maintenance of Fiscal Effort, (ESEA, Section 1120(A))			
Monitoring Requirements	Evidence of Compliance	Compliant	
The LEA can document that it has maintained fiscal effort in accordance with Section 9521 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.	Documentation is available to verify the district has maintained fiscal effort in accordance with Section 9521 of ESEA. (See the Office of Federal and State Accountability's Title I page on the State Department of Education's Web site for maintenance of effort information.)		
	Completed SEA maintenance of effort worksheets (backup documentation for worksheets to be included)		
	☐ District annual audit reports for the applicable years		

☐ Project application page 1.